

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS LISTED ON THE AGENDA

DATE: OCTOBER 11, 2018
2 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2018-13B

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF THE 2019 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUDGET.	4, 24
4. UPDATE ON THE CO-FUNDING PROGRAM BETWEEN THE NATIONAL HEART, LUNG AND BLOOD INSTITUTE CURE SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE.	11
5. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR TRANSLATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.	35
6. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR CLNICAL TRIAL STAGE PROGRAMS.	37
7. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
8. ADJOURNMENT.	51

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

OCTOBER 11, 2018; 2 P.M.

MR. SHEEHY: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE.
THANK YOU FOR BEING AVAILABLE TODAY. I'D LIKE TO
CALL THE MEETING OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
ICOC TO ORDER, PLEASE. COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.

DR. DEAS: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

DR. DULIEGE: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

DR. HIGGINS: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.

DR. LUBIN: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.

DR. MELMED: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

MR. SHEEHY: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. JONATHAN
THOMAS.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

MR. TORRES: HERE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

2 DR. VUORI: HERE.

3 MR. SHEEHY: SO WE'LL START OFF WITH A
4 PRESENTATION BY DR. MILLAN THAT WILL LINE OUT THE
5 BUDGET, AND ALSO EMBEDDED IN THAT IS A DISCUSSION OF
6 AN MOU WITH THE NATIONAL HEART, LUNG AND BLOOD
7 INSTITUTE CURE SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE AND THE
8 RELATIONSHIP WITH CIRM.

9 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK
10 YOU VERY MUCH, MR. SHEEHY. THANK YOU FOR THE
11 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND
12 COLLEAGUES.

13 SO I'LL JUST BE ABOUT GIVING A BRIEF
14 UPDATE AND JUST A BACKGROUND TO SET UP THE
15 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2019 AS
16 WELL AS THE SICKLE CELL CURE INITIATIVE AND OUR MOU,
17 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING, PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
18 NIH ON CURE SICKLE CELL AS WELL AS CONCEPT CHANGES
19 THAT WILL BE PRESENTED LATER THAT WILL SUPPORT BOTH
20 OUR PLANS FOR THE BUDGET AS WELL AS THE INITIATIVE.

21 OUR MISSION IS TO CONTINUE TO ACCELERATE
22 STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS WITH UNMET MEDICAL
23 NEEDS. THAT DOESN'T CHANGE. THAT DRIVES HOW WE
24 OPERATE. AND NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

25 AND IN SERVICE OF THIS MISSION, YOU ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALL AWARE THAT WE LAUNCHED A FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC
2 PLAN BEGINNING IN 2016 WITH THE OVERARCHING GOAL OF
3 ACCELERATING DEVELOPMENT OF PROMISING SCIENCE INTO
4 POTENTIAL THERAPEUTICS FOR PATIENTS WITH UNMET
5 MEDICAL NEEDS.

6 JUST A VERY BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE BIG SIX
7 OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN IS TO BRING 50 NEW CANDIDATES
8 INTO DEVELOPMENT, INCREASE THE PROGRESSION OF
9 PROJECTS GOING FROM ONE STAGE TO THE NEXT BY 50
10 PERCENT. AND THAT'S A NEW REGULATORY PARADIGM THAT
11 ACCELERATES THESE PROGRAMS. REDUCE THE TIME IT
12 TAKES TO TRANSLATE THE SCIENCE INTO CLINICAL
13 PROGRAMS, ADD 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS, BRINGING US TO
14 A TOTAL OF 67 CLINICAL TRIALS FOR CIRM, AND PARTNER
15 AT LEAST HALF OF OUR UNPARTNERED PROGRAMS SO THEY
16 CAN BE TAKEN TO COMMERCIALIZATION.

17 THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA, GIVEN
18 WHERE WE ARE IN OUR FUNDING LIFE, THAT WE TALK ABOUT
19 WHAT THE GENERAL INVESTMENT HAS BEEN INTO OUR FIVE
20 PILLARS OF INVESTMENT IN CIRM. SO FOR
21 INFRASTRUCTURE, APPROXIMATELY 480 MILLION
22 INFRASTRUCTURE DOLLARS THAT INCLUDED EARLY-ON
23 BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE AND LATER ON PROGRAMMATIC
24 INFRASTRUCTURE, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK
25 AND OUR PARTNERSHIP TO CREATE STEM CELL-SPECIFIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLINICAL RESEARCH ORGANIZATIONS.

2 ALMOST 900 MILLION, 898 MILLION, INTO THE
3 DISCOVERY PROGRAMS, 334 MILLION INTO TRANSLATIONAL
4 STAGE PROGRAMS. TO REMIND EVERYBODY, TRANSLATIONAL
5 STAGE PROGRAMS ARE MEANT TO DO THE SCIENCE TO
6 DEVELOP THE PACKAGE TO GO TO THE FDA TO GET INPUT IN
7 TERMS OF WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO GET AN IND IN ORDER
8 TO GET INTO TRIAL. 632 MILLION SO FAR, THIS HAS
9 BEEN EXPANDING ESPECIALLY OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS
10 AS WE INCREASE OUR CLINICAL PROGRAMS, \$632 MILLION
11 INTO THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, AND \$219 MILLION INTO
12 EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

13 AS YOU ALL WILL REMEMBER, NOVEMBER 2017
14 AND THEN AGAIN IN JANUARY OF -- NO. I THINK IT WAS
15 IN NOVEMBER OF 2016 WE PRESENTED THIS TRANSITION
16 PLAN; IS THAT CORRECT? 2017. I'M SORRY. WE
17 PRESENTED TO THE BOARD A TRANSITION PLAN TO ACCOUNT
18 FOR WHAT WE WOULD DO IN TERMS OF OUR PROGRAMS AND
19 OUR BUDGET IN THE REMAINING YEARS OF CIRM WITH THE
20 REMAINING ADMINISTRATIVE AND RESEARCH BUDGET.

21 AND THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES AROUND THAT IS
22 THAT WE WOULD CONTINUE TO PUSH HARD AND ACHIEVE THE
23 FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY
24 WITHIN BUDGET, THAT WE WOULD MAINTAIN THE CRITICAL
25 LEVEL OF PERSONNEL AND QUALITY OF PERSONNEL,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 QUALIFIED PERSONNEL, SO THAT WE ARE ABLE TO EXECUTE
2 ON THIS PLAN WHILE MAINTAINING OPERATIONAL
3 EXCELLENCE, AND THAT WE FELT IT WAS ESSENTIAL TO
4 PRESERVE CIRM'S VALUE PROPOSITION. THE VALUE
5 PROPOSITION BEING TO INVEST IN PROGRAMS AND OTHER
6 PROMISING PROGRAMS THAT COULD LEAD TO THERAPIES WHEN
7 OTHER INVESTORS OR AGENCIES ARE NOT TYPICALLY READY
8 OR ARE NOT ABLE TO FUND THOSE TYPE OF PROGRAMS IN
9 ORDER TO INCREASE THE PROBABILITY OF GETTING TO
10 PATIENTS.

11 THE OUTPUT OF THIS, A SURROGATE MEASURE
12 FOR THIS, IS NUMBER OF PROGRAMS THAT GET INTO
13 CLINICAL TRIALS. AND SO FAR WE HAVE FUNDED 49 NEW
14 CLINICAL TRIALS. THAT BRINGS US UP TO A TOTAL OF
15 56, AND IT IS BROAD IN TERMS OF DISEASE INDICATIONS
16 WITH DIVERSE THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES. WE WON'T GO
17 INTO THESE SPECIFIC PROGRAMS TODAY, BUT SUFFICE IT
18 TO SAY THAT IT'S A ROBUST PORTFOLIO OF TRIALS.

19 SO IN 2018 WANTED TO GIVE AN UPDATE OF
20 WHERE WE ARE IN TERMS OF OUR RESEARCH SPEND FOR
21 2018. IN THE SECOND COLUMN, IT TALKS ABOUT THE 2018
22 ALLOCATION FOR THE FOUR PROGRAMS AS LISTED ABOVE.
23 I'LL JUST GO AHEAD AND SUMMARIZE THAT: 130 MILLION
24 FOR CLINICAL, 30 MILLION FOR TRANSLATION, 10 MILLION
25 FOR DISCOVERY, AND 750,000 FOR EDUCATION.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE ESTIMATED 2018 YEAR-END SPEND IS
2 LISTED ON THE THIRD COLUMN, LEAVING US A LITTLE
3 RESIDUAL IN CLINICAL. WE WILL HAVE PROBABLY SPENT
4 MOST OF THE TRANSLATION OR ACTUALLY 28 MILLION OF
5 THE 30 MILLION TRANSLATION, ALL OF THE DISCOVERY
6 AFTER TODAY'S EARLIER MEETING, AND 150,000 LEFT IN
7 EDUCATION. WHAT THAT BROUGHT US OR IS BRINGING IS
8 SEVEN NEW CLINICAL TRIALS, SIX PRECLINICAL PROGRAMS
9 LEADING TO CLINICAL TRIALS, SEVEN NEW CANDIDATES,
10 AND SEVEN PROJECTS IN DISCOVERY.

11 SO WHERE ARE WE IN TERMS OF THE 2018
12 YEAR-END BUDGET? AS OF JANUARY 2018, THE BEGINNING
13 OF THIS YEAR, THIS CALENDAR YEAR, WE HAD A COMMITTED
14 DISBURSED AMOUNT OF \$2.48 BILLION, LEAVING US WITH A
15 \$269 MILLION RESEARCH FUNDING ALLOCATION THAT WAS
16 AVAILABLE. WITH THE ESTIMATED 2018 ACTIVITY THAT'S
17 LISTED HERE, RESULTING IN 30, WE ESTIMATE 30 TOTAL
18 AWARDS FOR THE YEAR AND SOME RECOVERY OF UNEXPENDED
19 FUNDS FROM SOME OF THE PROGRAMS. THIS LEAVES US
20 WITH \$144 MILLION IN OUR RESEARCH BUCKET.

21 WE DO ESTIMATE THAT IN THE UPCOMING YEAR
22 IN 2019, BASED ON PREVIOUS YEARS AND BASED ON WHAT'S
23 ACTIVE IN OUR PORTFOLIO, THAT WE WILL RECOVER
24 APPROXIMATELY \$30 MILLION IN 2019. HOWEVER, WE DID
25 NOT PUT THAT IN YOUR CURRENT PROPOSAL FOR BUDGET

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALLOCATION FOR 2019 BECAUSE WE ARE NOT CERTAIN WHEN
2 THESE FUNDS WOULD COME IN AND EXACTLY HOW MUCH THEY
3 WOULD BE.

4 BASED ON THAT, I'D LIKE TO GO TO THE NEXT
5 SLIDE. THIS JUST SUMMARIZES WHAT WE ESTIMATE WE'LL
6 START THE YEAR OFF IN 2019 IS \$144 MILLION IN
7 RESEARCH, AS DISCUSSED, AND \$39 MILLION FOR
8 ADMINISTRATION. JUST TO REMIND EVERYBODY, THESE ARE
9 TWO SEPARATE BUCKETS, MEANING THEY'RE BUDGETS THAT
10 CANNOT BE INTERMIXED. THEY'RE USED FOR THE
11 RESPECTIVE PURPOSES ONLY.

12 SO IN TERMS OF THE 2019 BUDGET, OF THE
13 \$144 MILLION IN RESEARCH BUDGET, WHAT WE WILL BE
14 PROPOSING TODAY FOR CONSIDERATION TO THE
15 SUBCOMMITTEE IS THE BUDGET THAT DOES NOT HAVE ANY
16 ALLOCATION FOR DISCOVERY STAGE PROGRAMS, THAT WE
17 NARROW THE ELIGIBILITY FOR TRAN AND CLIN1 PROGRAMS,
18 AND YOU WILL HEAR WHAT THAT WOULD BE FROM DR.
19 SAMBRANO, WHO WILL PRESENT THE PROPOSED PA CHANGES.
20 AND THEN CONSISTENT WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN OF
21 TARGETING 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS TO OPTIMIZE THE
22 BUDGET TO BRING IN CLINICAL PROGRAMS; HOWEVER, WITH
23 THE REMAINING BUDGET, WE STILL WILL NOT BE ABLE TO
24 HIT THE TARGETED 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS. WE
25 ESTIMATE THAT AT MOST WE BRING IN 43 TO 45 NEW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT THAT WOULD STILL BRING THE
2 TOTAL NUMBER THAT CIRM HAS SUPPORTED TO
3 APPROXIMATELY 60.

4 WE PROPOSE A SET ASIDE OF \$30 MILLION TO
5 FUND THE JOINT CIRM/NHLBI SICKLE CELL CURE
6 INITIATIVE. YOU'LL HEAR ABOUT THAT INITIATIVE A
7 LITTLE BIT MORE BEFORE WE BRING THIS FOR YOUR
8 CONSIDERATION FORMALLY FOR A BUDGET ITEM. THE
9 REASON THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR THIS SET ASIDE IS THAT
10 IN ORDER TO HAVE THIS JOINT PROGRAM EXECUTED, WE
11 WOULD NEED TO HAVE ACCESS TO A RELIABLE BUCKET OF
12 FUNDS. WE PROJECT, BASED ON LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS AND
13 FORECASTING THAT WAS DONE BY THE CIRM AND NIH TEAM,
14 THAT THERE ARE AT LEAST SIX PROGRAMS THAT WE
15 BELIEVE, THAT WE ALREADY KNOW ABOUT AND ADDITIONAL
16 THAT ARE OUT THERE. SO FOR A \$30 MILLION CIRM
17 ALLOCATION, THE NIH WOULD MATCH THOSE FUNDS. SO WE
18 THINK THAT WE WOULD AT LEAST BE ABLE TO BRING IN AT
19 LEAST FIVE OR SIX PROGRAMS FOR THE \$30 MILLION SET
20 ASIDE.

21 THE REMAINING ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET, AS
22 CONSISTENT WITH THE TRANSITION PLAN, WE'LL BE ABLE
23 TO SUPPORT SUFFICIENT STAFFING AND A PLAN THAT WOULD
24 MAKE SURE THAT ALL CIRM AWARDS WOULD BE MANAGED
25 REGARDLESS OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S ADDITIONAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FUNDING BEYOND 2020 THAT COMES INTO THIS AGENCY.

2 THE PROPOSED BUDGET, WHICH WE WILL NOT ASK
3 FOR A MOTION OR ACTION AT THIS TIME, IS JUST SHOWN
4 ON THIS CHART. WE'RE PROPOSING A \$93 MILLION BUDGET
5 FOR CLIN1 AND 2 AND A SPECIFIC SET ASIDE FOR CLIN1
6 AND 2 FOR THE SICKLE CELL DISEASE JOINT NHLBI/CIRM
7 INITIATIVE.

8 WE'RE PROPOSING \$20 MILLION FOR THE
9 TRANSLATIONAL STAGE PROGRAMS. AS MUCH AS WE WOULD
10 LIKE TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A BUDGET FOR DISC, WE ARE
11 RECOMMENDING THAT WE PUT THE ALLOCATIONS TOWARDS THE
12 LATER STAGE PROGRAMS. AND THE 600,000 THAT'S LEFT
13 FOR EDUCATION IS FOR THE SPARK, BRIDGES PROGRAM, AND
14 THE ALPHA CLINIC SYMPOSIUM. THEY'RE PROGRAMS THAT
15 WE'VE ALREADY COMMITTED TO.

16 AND SO LIKE TO LEAVE THIS OPEN -- TURN IT
17 BACK TO MR. SHEEHY FOR COMMENTS, QUESTIONS, AND THEN
18 WE WILL HAVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE NHLBI MOU.

19 MR. SHEEHY: I'M WONDERING IF IT'S OKAY
20 WITH THE OTHER MEMBERS THAT WE GO AHEAD AND TALK
21 ABOUT THE MOU SINCE THAT'S PART OF THE BUDGET AND
22 THEN COME BACK AND HAVE A GENERAL BUDGET DISCUSSION.

23 DR. DEAS: THAT'S FINE.

24 MR. THOMPSON: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
25 THIS IS GABRIEL THOMPSON. I'M THE VICE PRESIDENT OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GRANTS AND OPERATIONS AT CIRM. AND I'M HERE TO
2 DESCRIBE GENERALLY HOW THE CURE SICKLE CELL
3 CO-FUNDING INITIATIVE WILL WORK.

4 AND SO, AS YOU GUYS KNOW, CIRM AND NHLBI
5 SIGNED AN MOU IN LATE JUNE TO AGREE TO A CO-FUNDING
6 INITIATIVE. AND THE PURPOSE OF THE INITIATIVE IS
7 ACCELERATE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCESSIBLE CURES FOR
8 SICKLE CELL DISEASE WITH A GOAL OF FINDING A CURE
9 WITHIN FIVE TO TEN YEARS. SO WE THINK THERE'S AN
10 ALIGNMENT OF PURPOSE HERE GIVEN THE ACCELERATION AND
11 PRIORITY FOR THIS SPECIFIC DISEASE.

12 WE BELIEVE THIS PARTICULAR CO-FUNDING
13 INITIATIVE IS QUITE UNIQUE IN CONTRAST TO OTHER
14 COLLABORATIVE FUNDING PARTNERSHIPS WE'VE HAD IN THAT
15 UNDER THIS INITIATIVE, NHLBI IS PROVIDING FUNDS TO
16 CIRM AND ADOPTING OUR CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAM. SO
17 THIS INCLUDES OUR CLIN1, OUR CLIN2, AND OUR CLIN3
18 PROGRAMS.

19 SO WHAT THIS MEANS IS THAT THERE WILL
20 BE -- IT UTILIZES THE CIRM CLINICAL PROCESS. SO
21 THERE WILL BE A SINGLE CIRM APPLICATION THAT
22 APPLICANTS WILL APPLY FOR. THERE WILL BE A SINGLE
23 SCIENTIFIC PEER REVIEW, WHICH WILL BE PART OF CIRM'S
24 GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND THEN THERE WILL BE SINGLE
25 CIRM AWARD THAT THE AWARDEE WILL MANAGE. SO THIS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE THINK, IS A HIGHLY UNIQUE SITUATION AND IS REALLY
2 VALIDATING A LOT OF THE ACCELERATING ENGINE WE PUT
3 INTO PLACE YEARS AGO.

4 WE STILL MAINTAIN THE ACCELERATION BY
5 HAVING FUNDING DECISIONS IN AS LITTLE AS 85 DAYS.
6 OBVIOUSLY, THE FUNDING NHLBI PROVIDES WILL ALLOW US
7 TO LEVERAGE THAT FUNDING WITH OUR OWN IN ORDER TO
8 SUPPORT MORE PROJECTS THAN WE COULD IF WE WERE
9 ACTING ALONE.

10 THERE'S ALSO A DATA SHARING COMPONENT
11 UNDER THE INITIATIVE THAT WE ARE WORKING OUT IN
12 DETAILS THAT WE'LL SHARE LATER ON.

13 SO JUST TO BRIEFLY GO OVER, WE HAVE
14 ATTEMPTED TO TRY TO CHANGE AS LITTLE AS POSSIBLE
15 ABOUT OUR CLIN PROGRAM IN SUPPORT OF THIS
16 INITIATIVE; BUT TO LIST OFF THE FEW CHANGES THAT WE
17 ARE MAKING TO THE PROGRAM AND ALSO WILL BE DESCRIBED
18 LATER ON IN THE CONCEPT PLAN CHANGES, BUT WE
19 OBVIOUSLY NEED TO INFORM APPLICANTS THAT THEIR
20 APPLICATION MATERIALS WILL BE SHARED WITH NHLBI
21 REPRESENTATIVES. UNDER THE INITIATIVE, AND THAT'S
22 SPELLED OUT IN THE MOU, AWARDEES ARE GOING TO BE
23 REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH NHLBI DATA SAFETY AND
24 MONITORING AS WELL AS DATA SHARING POLICIES.

25 THE INITIATIVE IS UNIQUE IN THAT WE ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOING TO ALLOW NON-CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATIONS TO APPLY
2 WHO ARE REQUESTING THEIR CIRM UNALLOWABLE COSTS TO
3 BE COVERED BY NHLBI FUNDS.

4 AND THEN FINALLY ALL SICKLE CELL
5 APPLICATIONS WILL BE SUBJECT TO THIS REVISED PROGRAM
6 SO THAT WE ENSURE THAT WE ARE GETTING THE LEVERAGE
7 WE WOULD LIKE FROM THESE PROGRAMS.

8 JUST BRIEFLY, AS FAR AS THE PROCESS,
9 AGAIN, WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO KIND OF MAINTAIN THE
10 VERY RAPID PROCESS FOR TAKING APPLICATIONS IN AND
11 REVIEWING FOR ELIGIBILITY, GOING TO PEER REVIEW, AND
12 THEN MAKING A FUNDING DECISION.

13 WHEN APPLICATIONS COME IN UNDER THIS
14 PROGRAM, CIRM WILL CONDUCT ELIGIBILITY REVIEW AND
15 NHLBI REPRESENTATIVES WILL BE GIVEN ACCESS TO THE
16 APPLICATIONS VIA OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.

17 THE APPLICATIONS, ONCE THEY PASS
18 ELIGIBILITY, WILL GO TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP FOR
19 SCIENTIFIC PEER REVIEW IN WHICH NHLBI CAN ATTEND
20 THOSE MEETINGS.

21 AND THEN NHLBI HAS AGREED TO MAKE ITS
22 FUNDING DECISION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE GWG
23 SCIENTIFIC PEER REVIEW MEETING. AND THEN WE WILL
24 TAKE BOTH THE SCIENTIFIC PEER REVIEW AS WE NORMALLY
25 DO ALONG WITH NHLBI'S FUNDING DECISION AND DOLLAR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMMITMENT TO THE ICOC APPLICATION REVIEW
2 SUBCOMMITTEE FOR A FINAL FUNDING DECISION. WE THINK
3 WE CAN STILL DO THIS AND MAINTAIN OUR PROCESS TIME
4 TO GET APPLICATIONS -- A FUNDING DECISION IN AS
5 LITTLE AS 85 DAYS.

6 FINALLY, ON THE KIND OF AWARD MANAGEMENT.
7 SO AFTER FUNDING APPROVAL, IF APPLICATIONS ARE
8 RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING, NHLBI FUNDS WILL COME TO
9 CIRM VIA WHAT IS CALLED THE OTHER TRANSACTIONAL
10 AUTHORITY. AND THIS IS WHAT -- WHAT THAT BASICALLY
11 MEANS IS THIS IS HOW NIH GETS FUNDS TO OTHER
12 ENTITIES THAT AREN'T SUBJECT TO THE NORMAL SET OF
13 FEDERAL GRANTS POLICIES AND REGULATIONS.

14 CIRM WILL BE ISSUING THE NOTICE OF AWARD
15 TO THE AWARDEE FOR BOTH THE CIRM AND NHLBI FUNDS.
16 THE AWARDEE WILL WORK WITH CIRM TO SUBMIT THE NORMAL
17 PROGRESS AND FINANCIAL REPORTS. THAT WILL ALSO BE
18 SHARED WITH NHLBI VIA OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.
19 AND NHLBI REPRESENTATIVES CAN BE APPOINTED TO OUR
20 CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS AS WELL. AND WE'RE EXCITED
21 TO HAVE THEM BE A PART OF THAT PROCESS THAT WE THINK
22 IS REALLY HELPING GUIDE THESE PROGRAMS FORWARD. AND
23 THEN CIRM DOES RETAIN THE ABILITY TO SUSPEND OR
24 TERMINATE THE AWARDS, AND WE WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE IN
25 CONTACT WITH NHLBI IF WE EVER NEEDED TO TAKE A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DECISION TO SUSPEND OR TERMINATE AN AWARD.

2 SO THOSE WERE THE KIND OF GENERAL
3 COMMENTS. WE ARE WORKING TOWARD AN AGREEMENT WITH
4 NHLBI THAT WE HOPE TO HAVE EXECUTED BY THE
5 THANKSGIVING HOLIDAY. AND IF THAT'S POSSIBLE, THEN
6 WE WOULD START ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS UNDER THIS
7 PROGRAM FOR THE DECEMBER 31ST OR WHATEVER THAT LAST
8 WORKING DAY OF DECEMBER IS, THE FIRST DEADLINE WE
9 WOULD RECEIVE APPLICATIONS UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

10 SO I'M HAPPY TO TAKE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS
11 ABOUT THE PROCESS IN GENERAL OR ANY OTHER QUESTIONS
12 YOU HAVE ABOUT THE INITIATIVE.

13 DR. DEAS: I DO HAVE A QUESTION. MAYBE I
14 MISSED SOMETHING BECAUSE IT SEEMS PRETTY
15 STRAIGHTFORWARD, AND I THINK THIS IS A GREAT
16 COLLABORATION. I KNOW THAT WE'RE SETTING ASIDE 30
17 MILLION FOR THE AREA OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE. AND BY
18 HAVING THIS MOU OF A COLLABORATION, WHAT AMOUNT OF
19 FUNDS WILL BE COMING FROM THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE,
20 NHLBI? DID YOU SAY THAT?

21 DR. MILLAN: DR. DEAS, IT'S MARIA MILLAN.
22 I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND START THE RESPONSE TO THAT
23 QUESTION, AND GABE CAN CHIME IN.

24 WHERE WE ARE NOW IS THAT WE'RE PROPOSING
25 THAT THE NHLBI SHARE IN THE CIRM COSTS. SO WHATEVER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OUR AWARD WOULD BE, THEY WOULD CO-FUND THAT. SO WE
2 ARE PROPOSING A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF THAT, AND
3 THAT'S WHAT GABE IS TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW. WE
4 HAVE A GENERAL BUY-IN TO THAT AT THIS POINT.

5 IN ADDITION TO SHARING THE CIRM COSTS, THE
6 NHLBI IS OPEN TO ALSO FUNDING ADDITIONAL COSTS THAT
7 CIRM WOULDN'T OTHERWISE FUND BECAUSE EITHER THEY'RE
8 OUT-OF-STATE OR WHAT HAVE YOU.

9 DR. DEAS: OKAY.

10 DR. MILLAN: WE ACTUALLY GET LEVERAGE OFF
11 THIS SO THAT THEIR CONTRIBUTION WILL OFFSET CIRM'S
12 COST FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT.

13 DR. DEAS: OKAY. I GOT IT. ALL RIGHT.
14 THIS IS GREAT. THANK YOU.

15 DR. MILLAN: YOU'RE WELCOME.

16 DR. LUBIN: I'D LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION.
17 FOR THE NIH COMPONENT -- FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS
18 TERRIFIC. FOR THE NIH COMPONENT, DOES IT STILL GO
19 THROUGH STUDY SECTION AND COUNCIL BEFORE IT GETS TO
20 US?

21 MR. THOMPSON: NO, NOT AT ALL. SO THE
22 NHLBI CURE SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE WILL HAVE AN
23 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE THAT WILL MAKE THE FUNDING
24 DECISIONS WITHIN TEN DAYS OF OUR GRANTS WORKING
25 GROUP. AND THAT IS THE EXTENT OF THEIR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DECISION-MAKING. NO NIH PEER REVIEW PROCESS
2 INVOLVED.

3 DR. LUBIN: SO IF I WERE IN DELAWARE AND
4 DOING WORK ON STEM CELLS IN SICKLE CELL, WOULD I
5 APPLY TO THE NIH OR APPLY TO CIRM?

6 MR. THOMPSON: IF YOU HAD SOME OF YOUR
7 PROJECT ENROLLING PATIENTS -- IF IT WAS A CLINICAL
8 TRIAL AND YOU HAD PATIENTS YOU WERE ENROLLING IN
9 CALIFORNIA OR YOU HAD ACTIVITIES THAT YOU WERE
10 CONDUCTING IN CALIFORNIA, THEN IT WOULD BE
11 BENEFICIAL TO APPLY UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

12 DR. MILLAN: I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT, IN
13 ADDITION, WE HAD THIS CONVERSATION WITH THEM. AND
14 THE INTENT IS ANY NEW PROGRAMS COMING IN FOR CELL
15 AND GENE THERAPY FOR CURE SICKLE CELL WOULD COME IN
16 THROUGH THIS INITIATIVE. WE JUST HAD THE
17 CONVERSATION WITH THEM AND THE ADVANTAGES OF
18 CONSOLIDATING THAT HALFWAY.

19 THERE MAY BE OTHER TYPES OF PROGRAMS THAT
20 MAY BE SMALL MOLECULE OR OTHER KIND OF TRADITIONAL
21 APPROACHES THAT MAY STILL GO THROUGH THE NIH. BUT
22 THE FOCUS REALLY IS, AND THAT'S THE REASON FOR THE
23 PARTNERSHIP WITH CIRM, IS THE BELIEF THAT THE CURE
24 WILL COME FROM A CELL/GENE THERAPY.

25 DR. LUBIN: SOUNDS GOOD. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU.

2 MR. SHEEHY: CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ONE QUICK THING. I JUST
4 WANT TO ECHO THE COMMENTS THAT WE HAD IN JUNE WHEN
5 YOU FIRST DESCRIBED THIS, MARIA, TO SAY AND
6 REITERATE WHAT A TREMENDOUSLY EXCITING PROGRAM THIS
7 IS, NOT ONLY IN TERMS OF HOW IT'S GOING TO ADVANCE
8 THERAPIES AND CURES FOR THIS DISEASE, WHICH IS THE
9 PRINCIPAL GOAL, BUT THE FACT THAT PARTNERSHIP SERVES
10 AS A GREAT TEMPLATE FOR HOW WE CAN PROCEED WITH NIH
11 IN CONNECTION WITH A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT OTHER
12 PROJECTS, ASSUMING WE GET OUR FUNDING GOING AND
13 RENEWED, ETC., BUT IT IS A TERRIFIC EXAMPLE. I JUST
14 WANTED TO COMMEND YOU FOR GETTING THIS IN PLACE. I
15 THINK IT'S JUST A GREAT IDEA.

16 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU. IT'S A TEAM
17 EFFORT, REALLY A HUGE AMOUNT OF WORK FROM GABE'S
18 TEAM, ABLA'S TEAM, AND OTHERS IN THE ORGANIZATION TO
19 WORK THIS THROUGH.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. THE
21 FIRST RELATES TO THE PERIOD OF TIME OVER WHICH YOU
22 MIGHT REASONABLY EXPECT TO SPEND \$30 MILLION. DO
23 YOU EXPECT TO SPEND THAT OR SPEND -- LET ME NOT SAY
24 SPEND, BUT COMMIT THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY. DO YOU
25 EXPECT THOSE COMMITMENTS IN ONE YEAR, TWO YEARS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE YEARS? WHAT'S SORT OF THE RUN RATE THAT
2 YOU'RE SEEING?

3 DR. MILLAN: SO WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR IS A
4 ONETIME ALLOCATION BECAUSE IF ALL SIX OF THESE
5 PROGRAMS COME IN NEXT YEAR, WE'D WANT TO BE ABLE TO
6 GO AHEAD AND GET THESE GOING AND NOT HOLD IT UP.
7 BUT WHAT WE ANTICIPATE DOING IS THAT THIS WOULD BE
8 OVER THE COURSE, PROBABLY, OF TWO YEARS, AT LEAST BE
9 AVAILABLE SO THAT IN THE SECOND YEAR, IF THEY'RE NOT
10 QUITE READY IN 2019, THAT THE FUNDS WOULD BE THERE
11 TO FUND THIS CO-FUNDING SCHEME FOR 2020.

12 DR. JUELSGAARD: GREAT. SECOND QUESTION
13 THEN. THE \$30 MILLION IS WHAT WE ARE SETTING ASIDE.
14 I'LL USE MY TERMINOLOGY FOR A MOMENT. ARE WE GOING
15 TO ADVISE THE NIH NATIONAL HEART, LUNG AND BLOOD
16 INSTITUTE THAT WE'RE SETTING ASIDE \$30 MILLION? IF
17 SO, DO THEY SEE THAT AS A FIRM COMMITMENT ON OUR
18 PART TO SPEND THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY?

19 DR. MILLAN: WE HAVE INFORMED THEM THAT --
20 WE'VE HAD SOME REALLY INTENSE PLANNING SESSIONS.
21 AND THIS \$30 MILLION HAS BEEN A GREAT STIMULUS TO
22 GET THINGS GOING ON THE OTHER SIDE IN TERMS OF -- SO
23 I THINK THE FACT THAT THEY KNOW THAT WE'RE READY TO
24 GO IS GETTING THEM SET UP SO THAT THEY CAN WORK
25 THROUGH THEIR SYSTEMS TO TRIGGER THIS OTA MECHANISM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHICH IS PROBABLY THE MOST ACCELERATED PROCESS I'VE
2 EVER SEEN OUT OF THE NIH AND THEIR ABILITY TO
3 CONTRACT AND TO ACT AND TO MAKE DECISIONS.

4 SO THERE'S A WHOLE HOST OF ACTIVITIES THAT
5 ARE CURRENTLY HAPPENING IN ORDER FOR THEM TO HAVE
6 EVERYTHING READY TO GO ONCE THAT FIRST APPLICATION
7 COMES IN.

8 THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE HAVE AND WHAT I
9 HAVE FROM THEM IS ALTHOUGH THEY NEED -- BECAUSE
10 THEY'RE STILL THE NIH, THAT THEY'RE VERY CAUTIOUS
11 ABOUT REALLY NAILING DOWN NUMBERS, BUT THEIR
12 ASSURANCE IS THAT ONCE IT'S READY TO GO, THEY'LL
13 HAVE THE MATCHING FUNDS READY TO GO BECAUSE GIVEN
14 THEIR TOTAL BUDGET AND THE PRIORITY OF THIS
15 PARTICULAR INITIATIVE WITHIN THE NHLBI SUPPORT FROM
16 GARY GIBBONS AND FRANCES COLLINS, THAT THEY DON'T
17 SEE THAT AS AN ISSUE IN TERMS OF THEIR FUNDS.

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: LET ME ASK THE QUESTION A
19 LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY THEN. ASSUME A YEAR FROM
20 NOW, FOR WHATEVER REASON, WE DECIDE WE'D RATHER ONLY
21 SPEND \$25 MILLION ON THIS INITIATIVE AND NOT 30.
22 WILL WE BE FREE TO DO THAT?

23 DR. MILLAN: YES.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU. THE THIRD
25 THING, I THINK THIS IS IMPLIED IN WHAT WE'VE ALREADY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GONE THROUGH, BUT YOU TALKED ABOUT SICKLE CELL
2 PROJECTS. BUT JUST TO BE CLEAR, ANY PROJECT THAT
3 CIRM WOULD FUND WOULD NEED TO BE A GWG MINIMUM SCORE
4 OF 85, RIGHT?

5 DR. MILLAN: YES.

6 MR. THOMPSON: BUT THE CLINICAL PROGRAM
7 HAS TIER I, II, III. SO, YES, THE APPLICATION WOULD
8 HAVE TO RECEIVE A TIER I TO MOVE FORWARD.

9 DR. JUELSGAARD: GREAT. THANK YOU. THOSE
10 ARE MY QUESTIONS.

11 MR. SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS FROM OTHER
12 BOARD MEMBERS? OKAY. SO SHALL WE GO TO A MORE
13 GENERAL BUDGET DISCUSSION?

14 DR. LUBIN: CAN I MAKE ONE COMMENT. THIS
15 IS A MAJOR ADVANCE FOR SICKLE CELL ANEMIA IN THE
16 STATE OF CALIFORNIA. ONE OF THE PERSONS IN THE
17 GOVERNMENT THAT'S BEEN A VERY STAUNCH ADVOCATE FOR
18 SICKLE CELL IS BARBARA LEE. AND I'M SURE THAT --
19 I'M NOT SURE, BUT I'M WONDERING HOW MUCH SHE KNOWS
20 THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING THIS BECAUSE IT'S A
21 PHENOMENAL OPPORTUNITY. I WOULD BE SURE TO PURSUE
22 THAT. THAT'S NOT RELATED TO THE BUDGET OR ANY OF
23 THE DETAILS OF THE MOU, BUT IT REALLY IS RELATED TO
24 HER COMMITMENT TO SICKLE CELL, NOT THAT SHE COULD
25 ADD ANY MONEY ONTO IT, BUT JUST TO KNOW THAT WE'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOING THIS IS GREAT.

2 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

3 MR. TORRES: MY INTENT WAS, ONCE WE'VE
4 COMPLETED ACTION, TO GIVE BARBARA A CALL AND GIVE
5 HER A BRIEFING ON THIS.

6 DR. LUBIN: I WOULD ABSOLUTELY DO THAT,
7 AND I WOULD INVITE HER TO COME OVER TO CIRM AND DO A
8 LITTLE PRESENTATION LIKE MARIA DID. I THINK IT
9 WOULD BE GREAT.

10 MR. TORRES: WE HAVE AN INVITE OUT TO
11 BARBARA, BUT I ALSO WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I CONNECT
12 WITH HER AS SOON AS WE'VE REACHED A DECISION HERE SO
13 THAT SHE KNOWS.

14 DR. LUBIN: SOUNDS GOOD, AND I'D BE HAPPY
15 TO HELP WITH THAT.

16 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU, BERT.

17 DR. JUELSGAARD: JUST TO BE CLEAR, WHAT WE
18 WOULD DECIDE TODAY WOULD BE TO RECOMMEND A PLAN OF
19 ACTION TO THE ICOC, RIGHT? WE'RE NOT -- WE DON'T
20 HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO COMMIT TODAY \$30 MILLION TO
21 THIS PROGRAM; IS THAT RIGHT?

22 MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S RIGHT. WE'RE JUST
23 MAKING A RECOMMENDATION TODAY.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: GREAT. THANKS.

25 MR. SHEEHY: IS THERE ADDITIONAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISCUSSION? WE DO HAVE THE BUDGET. I DON'T KNOW IF
2 WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT THE MOU OR NOT, BUT I WAS
3 HOPING WE COULD THEN ROLL THIS INTO A DISCUSSION
4 ABOUT THE ALLOCATIONS FOR THE BUDGET AND SEE IF
5 THERE ARE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS OR CHANGES PEOPLE
6 WANT TO MAKE IN THE BUDGET. IF YOU WANT TO GO BACK
7 TO THAT.

8 DR. MILLAN: IT'S SLIDE 11. I'LL JUST
9 SUMMARIZE AGAIN. SO FOR THE ALLOCATION, THE TOTAL
10 ALLOCATION FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM WOULD BE 123
11 MILLION WITH 93 MILLION EARMARKED FOR THE GENERAL
12 CLIN1/2 BUDGET, AND \$30 MILLION SET ASIDE FOR
13 CLIN1/2 PROGRAMS FOR THE SICKLE CELL CURE
14 INITIATIVE, \$20 MILLION FOR THE TRANSLATION
15 PROGRAMS, AND \$600,000 FOR EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

16 MR. SHEEHY: SO SINCE THERE'S NOT A
17 DISCUSSION, I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO RECOMMEND
18 THE BUDGET TO THE FULL ICOC.

19 DR. DEAS: I MOVE THAT WE RECOMMEND THE
20 BUDGET FORWARD.

21 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND?

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

23 MR. SHEEHY: PUBLIC COMMENT? I KNOW WE
24 HAVE SOMEONE HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO, BUT IF ANY OF
25 THE SITES, ANYONE FROM THE PUBLIC WOULD LIKE TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMMENT.

2 DR. JUELSGAARD: I HAVE A COMMENT. THIS
3 IS STEVE JUELSGAARD.

4 MR. SHEEHY: GO AHEAD, STEVE.

5 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO FOR THOSE PEOPLE THAT
6 WEREN'T ON THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE CALL
7 EARLIER THIS MORNING, IF YOU RECALL BACK IN JULY WE
8 APPROVED A NUMBER OF DISCOVERY PROGRAMS, BUT WE
9 COULDN'T APPROVE ALL OF THEM THAT RANKED 85 AND
10 ABOVE. WE WENT INTO A LARGE PROGRAMMATIC DISCUSSION
11 AND APPROVED THOSE THAT WERE MOVED TO BE APPROVED,
12 WHICH LEFT A NUMBER OF WHAT ARE VERY WORTHWHILE
13 PROJECTS BOTH FROM THE SCIENTIFIC POINT OF VIEW, BUT
14 ALSO FROM A THERAPEUTIC NEED POINT OF VIEW UNFUNDED.
15 AND SO THOSE ARE STILL SITTING THERE, AND THIS
16 PARTICULAR BUDGET PROPOSAL INCLUDES NO MONEY FOR
17 DISCOVERY. YOU WILL SEE IT'S ZERO MILLION ON SLIDE
18 11.

19 I DID A LITTLE QUICK MATH ON THE AMOUNT OF
20 MONEY THAT'S BEING ASKED FOR BY THE UNFUNDED
21 PROJECTS, AND IT COMES TO JUST A LITTLE UNDER \$8
22 MILLION. IT'S ABOUT \$7.93 MILLION. AND WE HEARD
23 FROM SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS THIS MORNING DURING OUR
24 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING, PEOPLE BOTH
25 WERE INVESTIGATORS AS WELL AS PATIENTS, ABOUT THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NEEDS AND THE PROGRAMS THAT THEY HAD PUT FORTH TO
2 THE GWG AND GOTTEN SCORES IN TIER I.

3 SO COUPLE THAT WITH THE FOLLOWING, THAT
4 THE PRESENTATION THAT MARIA MADE ALLUDED TO THE FACT
5 THAT THERE'S LIKELY TO BE SOME MONEY COMING BACK IN
6 AGAIN THIS NEXT YEAR BECAUSE WE HAVE A HISTORY OF
7 GETTING FUNDS BACK AGAIN. ALL OF THEM GET SPENT IN
8 THE PROGRAMS THAT WE FUND FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS.
9 IN HER PRESENTATION, SHE LEFT OUT THAT PARTICULAR
10 PIECE AS PART OF THE BUDGETING ITEM, AND IT WOULD
11 BASICALLY FLOW INTO THE FOLLOWING YEAR IN SOME
12 FASHION. AND THAT MEANS IT WOULD GO INTO 2020, AND
13 WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ADDITIONAL MONEY BEYOND THAT.

14 LET'S ASSUME FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT IT'S
15 \$20 MILLION THAT COMES BACK. THAT'S A LOW NUMBER
16 BASED ON HISTORICAL NORMS. ANYWAY, IF THAT MONEY
17 COMES BACK AND WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL MONEY
18 TO GO WITH IT, WE'D BE LEFT WITH A FAIRLY SMALL SUM
19 OF \$20 MILLION TO FIGURE OUT WHAT TO DO WITH.

20 AND I'M HOPEFUL THAT WE DO GET MONEY, BUT
21 WE DON'T KNOW THAT WE WILL. SO THERE'S A PART OF ME
22 THAT WANTS TO USE THAT UNSPENT, BUT LIKELY TO COME
23 BACK MONEY TO HELP SUPPORT THE PROGRAMS THAT WE
24 THOUGHT HIGHLY OF AT THIS LAST JULY MEETING BUT WE
25 WERE UNABLE TO PROVIDE THE FUNDING FOR BECAUSE WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DIDN'T HAVE THE BUDGET FOR IT.

2 MR. SHEEHY: I HAD A SUGGESTION FOR YOU,
3 BUT GO AHEAD.

4 DR. JUELSGAARD: I'M DONE TALKING. I WAS
5 MOVED THIS MORNING, JEFF, TO BE QUITE HONEST, BY
6 THAT DISCUSSION OF ALL THE PEOPLE THAT WERE ON THE
7 PHONE AND THE PLEAS THAT THEY WERE MAKING. WE HAVE
8 SOME VERY WORTHWHILE PROGRAMS WE JUST SIMPLY LEFT BY
9 THE SIDE OF THE HIGHWAY ON THIS ONE.

10 MR. SHEEHY: I FEEL THE SAME WAY. SO I
11 WONDER -- WHAT I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST IS PERHAPS A
12 FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO THE BUDGET MOTION TO ALLOCATE
13 7.9 MILLION, THE FIRST 7.9 MILLION OF RETURNED FUNDS
14 TO THOSE PROJECTS. AND MAYBE TO BE MORE CLEAR, WE
15 WOULD FUND THEM SEQUENTIALLY IN ORDER OF REVIEW
16 SCORE. RIGHT? BUT THERE'S SOME PATHWAY. I THINK,
17 WHAT, THERE'S SEVEN LEFT, I THINK. THERE'S SIX. IF
18 WE DON'T PROVIDE SOME CLARIFICATION, THEY'LL ONLY BE
19 FUNDED IN ORDER OF -- WOULD ONLY GET FUNDED ONCE WE
20 GOT THE FULL 7.9. IT'S NOT UNLIKELY THAT IT WILL
21 DRIBBLE BACK IN COUPLE MILLION HERE, COUPLE MILLION
22 THERE, BUT THERE'S AT LEAST SOME PROCESS.

23 IF YOU WANTED TO MAKE THAT FRIENDLY
24 AMENDMENT, I WOULD SECOND IT. THAT KIND OF
25 ADDRESSES. AND IF THE BRIDGE FUNDING DOES COME

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THROUGH, WE'VE ALREADY PRIORITIZED THIS FOR BRIDGE
2 FUNDING. BUT IF IT DOESN'T, THEN THERE'S A WAY
3 FORWARD FOR THOSE PROJECTS. BUT IT'S UP TO YOU.

4 DR. JUELSGAARD: I UNDERSTAND I RAISED THE
5 ISSUE. I GUESS THERE ARE TWO WAYS OF DOING IT. ONE
6 IS YOURS, WHICH REALLY LINES UP WITH THAT I WAS
7 TALKING ABOUT. THE OTHER IS ESSENTIALLY TO SET
8 ASIDE OUT OF THE BUDGET, WHETHER IT'S THE TRAN
9 BUDGET OR THE CLIN BUDGET OR SOME OF BOTH, THAT \$7.9
10 MILLION WITH THE MAKEUP TO TRAN AND CLIN, BUT WITH
11 THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE MIGHT HAVE TAKEN AWAY, THAT
12 WOULD BE MADE UP WITH MONEY THAT COMES BACK IN. SO
13 THAT WE GET THOSE PROJECTS UP AND RUNNING NOW AS
14 OPPOSED TO ONE BY ONE OVER A PERIOD OF TIME.

15 AND SO I WAS HOPING THAT WE MIGHT BE
16 WILLING TO DO THAT AND JUST GET THESE PEOPLE ON
17 THEIR WAY WITH THEIR GREAT PROGRAMS. I'M SURE WE'LL
18 BE ABLE TO MAKE UP THE DIFFERENCE WHEN MONEY COMES
19 BACK IN FOR THIS BUDGET THAT'S ON PAGE 11. DR.
20 MILLAN, WHAT DO YOU THINK?

21 MR. SHEEHY: MAYBE JUST SO WE HAVE A SENSE
22 OF HOW IT MIGHT ALIGN WITH THE PLANNING BECAUSE THAT
23 MAKES A LOT OF SENSE AS WELL. I'M ASSUMING THAT THE
24 TRAN IS ONE OR TWO REVIEWS? SO IT'S ONE REVIEW. SO
25 IT SEEMS LIKE THIS IS PROBABLY THE MOST LOGICAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PLACE TO TAKE IS CLIN BECAUSE THAT WON'T INTERFERE
2 WITH -- THE TRAN, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SCHEDULE
3 YOUR REVIEW. WE START IMPACTING THAT BUDGET NOW.
4 WE MIGHT MAKE IT HARDER FOR THAT REVIEW. AND GIVEN
5 THAT IT'S LIKELY ONLY TO BE ONE, PERHAPS
6 LOGISTICALLY IT MAKES MORE SENSE TO TAKE IT OUT OF
7 CLIN KNOWING THAT THE LAST REVIEW FOR CLIN OR THE
8 LAST TWO REVIEWS ARE THE END OF 2019. BY THAT TIME
9 SOME MONEY SHOULD HAVE COME BACK IN.

10 MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I AGREE WITH
11 THE DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION THAT IT'S GOING AND IN
12 SUPPORT OF WHAT STEVE AND YOU WANT TO DO. MY ONLY
13 QUESTION IS UNDER THE INITIATIVE IT SAID THAT WE
14 NEED TO GIVE PRIORITY TO EMBRYONIC STEM CELL
15 PROJECTS, ESPECIALLY THOSE THAT MAY NOT RECEIVE
16 FEDERAL FUNDING. OF THE DISCOVERY PROJECTS THAT WE
17 HAVE, I'M FAMILIAR WITH MOST OF THEM, BUT ARE THEY
18 ALL EMBRYONIC STEM CELL PROJECTS?

19 MR. SHEEHY: ONE OF THEM IS. I THINK TWO
20 OF THEM.

21 MR. TORRES: I WOULD PREFER A PROPOSAL
22 THAT, I GUESS, BOTH OF YOU SAID; THAT IS, IF WE CAN
23 GET THEM ALL UNDER ONE UMBRELLA AND START TO FUND
24 THEM ALL, THAT WOULD BE PREFERABLE. THE OTHER, OF
25 COURSE, IF YOU HAVE TO DO THEM AD SERIATIM, WHAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KIND OF PRIORITY WOULD YOU INITIATE OTHER THAN JUST
2 THE SCORES?

3 MR. SHEEHY: WHAT I'M HEARING IS
4 ESSENTIALLY WE WOULD LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND GET THE
5 WORK STARTED. IT DOES KIND OF MAKE SENSE TO TAKE
6 EIGHT MILLION, I THINK IT'S CLOSER TO EIGHT, 7.9 AND
7 SOME CHANGE, BUT THE EXACT NUMBER IS \$7,929,593. SO
8 MAYBE IF THAT'S THE MOTION YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE,
9 STEVE, A MOTION TO MOVE THAT NUMBER OUT OF CLIN AND
10 THEN POTENTIALLY HAVE THAT REPLACE -- THAT'S THEIR
11 OTHER FUND.

12 MR. TORRES: I WOULD SECOND THAT MOTION IF
13 THAT'S THE MOTION BEING PUT FORTH.

14 MR. SHEEHY: FROM THE ACTUAL BUDGET.

15 MR. TOCHER: FROM RECOVERED FUNDS, IF I
16 UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND, BUT THE CLIN --

17 MR. SHEEHY: BACKFILL TO OTHER FUNDS. SO
18 PART OF THE MOTION WOULD ALSO BE TO BACKFILL THE
19 CLIN CUT FROM RECOVERED FUNDS.

20 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. AND WE HAVE A
21 PENDING MOTION FROM DR. DEAS AND DR. THOMAS. WITH
22 THEIR PERMISSION AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. DR. DEAS?

23 DR. DEAS: I'M HERE, AND THAT'S FINE WITH
24 ME.

25 MR. SHEEHY: CHAIRMAN THOMAS?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AGREED.

2 DR. MILLAN: JUST RESPONDING. THANK YOU
3 FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON THIS. I THINK THAT
4 THAT'S A REASONABLE APPROACH. AND I THINK THAT IN
5 THAT CASE WHAT I WOULD PROPOSE THEREFOR, JUST TO
6 SIMPLIFY MATTERS, INSTEAD OF OUR PLAN TO CARRY OVER
7 ANY OF THE RETURNED FUNDS FOR 2020, TO JUST BRING IT
8 INTO THE BUDGET FOR THIS COMING YEAR, 2019, FOR THE
9 WHOLE BUDGET.

10 AND THEN I WOULD THEN PROPOSE THAT
11 WHATEVER RESIDUAL FUNDS COME BACK, SO WE WOULD TAKE
12 WHATEVER IS REQUIRED TO FUND THOSE DISCOVERY
13 PROGRAMS THAT WE SPOKE OF, WE TAKE OUT OF THE CLIN
14 BUDGET, WE BACKFILL THE CLIN BUDGET, BUT THEN
15 ANYTHING BEYOND THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND
16 HAVE IT IN 2019, AND I'D LEAVE IT UP TO THE BOARD AS
17 TO WHETHER YOU WANT US TO COME BACK TO YOU ONCE WE
18 HAVE THE REST OF THE 30 MILLION, THE ESTIMATED 30
19 MILLION, TO ALLOCATE IT OR CAN WE PUT IT TOWARDS
20 CLIN. WE'RE ALREADY GOING TO BE SHORT ON WHAT WE
21 PLANNED FOR FOR THE CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAMS.

22 MR. SHEEHY: I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER
23 PEOPLE'S THOUGHTS ARE. I MEAN I PERSONALLY WOULD
24 LIKE TO SEE WHERE WE ARE WHEN THE FUNDS START COMING
25 BACK IN. WE MAY NOT -- WE UNDERSPENT ON CLIN THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YEAR. AND THE OTHER THING IS, DEPENDING ON HOW
2 RAPIDLY THE MOU AND THE SICKLE CELL PROJECTS SCALE,
3 THERE MAY BE SOMEPLACE ELSE WHERE WE MIGHT WANT TO
4 CONSIDER. SO I JUST WOULDN'T WANT TO TIE DOWN THOSE
5 FUNDS OTHER THAN BACKFILLING THE EIGHT MILLION.

6 DR. MILLAN: THAT'S FINE.

7 MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S MY VIEW.

8 DR. MILLAN: WE CAN ALWAYS BRING IT BACK
9 TO THIS BOARD MIDYEAR OR SOMETHING IF IT TURNS OUT
10 THAT WE HAVE THE RECOVERED FUNDS AND WE PROPOSE TO
11 DO -- THAT WE'D LIKE TO ALLOCATE IT TO ONE PROGRAM
12 OR ANOTHER.

13 MR. SHEEHY: SCOTT TOCHER, KIND OF GIVE US
14 WHERE WE ARE ON THE MOTION, AND THEN WE CAN HAVE
15 ANOTHER ROUND OF COMMENTS SO THAT WE'RE CLEAR
16 BECAUSE WE DO HAVE A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT MOVING
17 PARTS HERE. AND THEN ONCE WE DO THAT, WE'LL COME
18 BACK TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

19 MR. TOCHER: SURE. THANKS, JEFF. SO AS I
20 HAVE IT, THE MOTION MADE BY DR. DEAS AND SECONDED BY
21 J.T. WAS AMENDED BY FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO ACCEPT THE
22 BUDGET AS PROPOSED EXCEPT TO REMOVE THE REQUIRED
23 \$7.9 PLUS MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE NON-SCD BUDGET OF
24 93 MILLION AND TO USE THOSE FUNDS IMMEDIATELY TO
25 FUND THE UNFUNDED QUEST APPLICATIONS THAT WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONSIDERED AND ARE BEING POSTPONED TO A FUTURE
2 MEETING FROM EARLIER THIS MORNING TO BACKFILL DURING
3 2019 THIS 7.9 FROM THE CLIN1 AND 2 BUDGET WHICH
4 RECOVERED FUNDS AS THEY COME IN. THAT ONCE THAT IS
5 REPLENISHED, WE WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD FOR
6 FURTHER DETERMINATION ON WHAT IT WOULD LIKE TO DO
7 WITH ANY FURTHER RECOVERED FUNDS.

8 MR. SHEEHY: DOES THAT CAPTURE EVERYTHING?
9 FIRST, DO THE MAKERS ON BOTH OF THE MOTION, WHICH
10 WOULD BE DR. DEAS AND CHAIRMAN THOMAS, AND THEN MR.
11 JUELSGAARD WHO MADE THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT? AND I
12 THINK WAS THERE A SECOND.

13 MR. TOCHER: THERE WAS. SENATOR TORRES.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: AND YOU SAID YOU WOULD.

15 MR. SHEEHY: I'M OKAY WITH IT. I JUST
16 WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY ELSE IS OKAY WITH THAT.

17 DR. DEAS: YES, I AM.

18 MR. TORRES: ME TOO.

19 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES, I'M VERY MUCH ON
20 BOARD WITH THIS MODIFIED MOTION.

21 MR. SHEEHY: ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THE
22 MOTION FROM BOARD MEMBERS BEFORE I OPEN UP TO PUBLIC
23 COMMENT? OKAY. SO WE HAVE DON REED HERE.

24 MR. REED: I WANT TO MAKE SURE I
25 UNDERSTAND IT. DO I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY THAT SOME

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE MADE PROPOSALS THIS MORNING
2 ARE GOING TO BE VERY HAPPY?

3 MR. SHEEHY: DEPENDS ON WHAT ACTION THE
4 BOARD TAKES NEXT WEEK. IF THIS MOTION PASSES, IT
5 WILL BE RECOMMENDED THAT THEY RECEIVE THE FUNDING.

6 MR. REED: I HOPE THAT YOU LET THEM KNOW
7 RIGHT AWAY. THIS IS WONDERFUL. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

8 MR. SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT AT
9 ANY SITES?

10 OPERATOR: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IF YOU
11 WANT TO ASK A QUESTION, PLEASE PRESS STAR AND THEN
12 ONE.

13 MR. SHEEHY: HEARING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT
14 IS CLOSED. AND WE CAN --

15 MR. TOCHER: FOR THE ROLL CALL THAT MARIA
16 WILL BE CALLING, MEMBERS MELMED AND VUORI WILL NOT
17 BE CALLED BECAUSE THEY HAVE INTEREST IN APPLICATIONS
18 THAT ARE CURRENTLY PENDING IN THE QUEST ROUND.

19 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.

21 DR. DEAS: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

23 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

25 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

2 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.

4 DR. LUBIN: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

6 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. JONATHAN

8 THOMAS.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

11 MR. TORRES: AYE.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

13 MR. SHEEHY: MOTION CARRIES. SO NOW I
14 BELIEVE WE HAVE DR. SAMBRANO WITH SOME CHANGES IN
15 THE CONCEPT PLANS.

16 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
17 YES, THAT'S CORRECT. SO ON THE SLIDE I'M GOING TO
18 PRESENT SOME OF THE PROPOSED CONCEPT CHANGES THAT
19 WOULD COVER WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT REGARDING THE
20 NHLBI/CIRM CURE SICKLE CELL DISEASE JOINT INITIATIVE
21 AS WELL AS OTHERS THAT AFFECT THE TRANSLATIONAL AND
22 CLINICAL PROGRAMS. AND THESE LARGELY TEND TO REMOVE
23 SMALL MOLECULES AND BIOLOGICS FROM ELIGIBILITY FOR
24 NEW PROJECTS COMING INTO CIRM AS OPPOSED TO PIPELINE
25 PROJECTS, BY WHICH WE MEAN THOSE WHERE WE HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PREVIOUSLY FUNDED THE CANDIDATE THAT THEY ARE
2 DEVELOPING THROUGH CIRM FUNDS. SO I'LL EXPLAIN THAT
3 IN MORE DETAIL THROUGH THESE SLIDES.

4 FIRST, I'M GOING TO ADDRESS THE
5 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM. AND THIS FIRST SLIDE ON THE
6 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM DEPICTS WHAT THE PROGRAM LOOKS
7 LIKE TODAY. IT IS A PROGRAM THAT ACCEPTS
8 APPLICATIONS FROM THOSE WHO HAVE CONDUCTED PROOF OF
9 CONCEPT STUDIES, AND THOSE CAN COME FROM THINGS SUCH
10 AS THE DISC2 PROGRAM, WHICH PRODUCES CANDIDATES WITH
11 CONCEPTS THAT ARE READY FOR TRANSLATION. AND SO
12 THAT FEEDS INTO THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM AND WILL
13 SUPPORT DIFFERENT PRODUCT TYPES, INCLUDING A
14 THERAPEUTIC, DEVICES SUCH AS A DIAGNOSTIC OR OTHER
15 MEDICAL DEVICE, AND ALSO TOOLS. EACH OF THESE
16 DIFFERENT PRODUCT TYPES HAVE DIFFERENT TIMELINES AND
17 MAXIMUM BUDGETS TO ADDRESS THE ACTIVITIES THAT THEY
18 WOULD CONDUCT UNDER THE TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM. THE
19 THERAPEUTIC IS REALLY THE LARGEST. I THINK 95
20 PERCENT OR MORE OF THE APPLICATIONS WE GET ARE FOR
21 THE TRAN1 OR THE THERAPEUTIC TYPE. AND THAT
22 INCLUDES SMALL MOLECULES, BIOLOGICS, AND CELL
23 THERAPIES.

24 WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING IN TERMS OF
25 CHANGING, PART OF THIS IS IN THE CONCEPT, PART OF IT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IS OUR INTENT IN TERMS OF WHAT WE WOULD SOLICIT IN
2 TERMS OF APPLICATIONS. SO, FIRST, OUR INTENT FOR
3 NEXT YEAR WOULD BE TO SOLICIT APPLICATIONS ONLY FOR
4 THE TRAN1 OR THERAPEUTIC PROGRAM AND NOT FOR THE
5 OTHER PRODUCT CANDIDATE TYPES. AND THEN FOR
6 PIPELINE PROJECTS, SO THOSE THAT HAVE RECEIVED CIRM
7 FUNDING BEFORE, THEY WOULD BE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY,
8 WHETHER THEY'RE A SMALL MOLECULE, BIOLOGIC, OR CELL
9 THERAPY, BUT FOR ANY NEW PROGRAMS THAT ARE COMING
10 IN, WE WOULD ONLY ACCEPT APPLICATIONS THAT ARE
11 PROPOSING A CELL THERAPEUTIC.

12 SO THAT WOULD BE THE PROPOSED CHANGE FOR
13 THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM.

14 FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, THIS IS WHAT THE
15 CURRENT PROGRAM LOOKS LIKE FOR THE CLIN1 AND CLIN2,
16 WHICH COVERS THE IND-ENABLING TYPE OF PROJECTS AS
17 WELL AS THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROJECTS. SO, OF COURSE,
18 THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM FEEDS INTO THE CLIN1 OR
19 IND-ENABLING, AND THE OBVIOUS DIFFERENCE IS ONE
20 BEING IND-ENABLING VERSUS A CLINICAL TRIAL. THE
21 AMOUNT OF TIME IS DIFFERENT FOR EACH, 18 MONTHS FOR
22 THE CLIN1 AND WE ALLOW UP TO FOUR YEARS FOR THE
23 CLINICAL TRIAL. BUT BOTH GENERALLY HAVE ACCEPTED
24 THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES THAT INCLUDE SMALL MOLECULES,
25 BIOLOGICS, CELL THERAPIES, AND DEVICES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO OUR PROPOSAL IN TERMS OF WHAT WE WOULD
2 CHANGE FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM WOULD BE THAT FOR
3 THE CLIN2 OR CLINICAL TRIALS, THERE WOULD BE NO
4 CHANGE. WE WOULD CONTINUE TO ACCEPT BOTH NEW AND
5 PIPELINE PROJECTS AS WE CURRENTLY DO. BUT FOR THE
6 CLIN1, THE IND-ENABLING, WE WOULD BASICALLY MIRROR
7 WHAT WE'RE DOING FOR TRAN, WHICH IS FOR ANY PIPELINE
8 PROJECTS, WE WOULD CONTINUE TO ACCEPT ALL DIFFERENT
9 PRODUCT TYPES. NEW PROJECTS WE WOULD LIMIT TO CELL
10 THERAPY ONLY.

11 IN ADDITION, WE WOULD ADD MESENCHYMAL STEM
12 CELLS, OFTEN KNOWN AS MSC'S, TO A STATEMENT WITHIN
13 THE CONCEPT OR PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT WHICH ACTUALLY
14 CREATES A LITTLE BIT OF A HIGHER BAR FOR THESE TYPES
15 OF APPLICATIONS TO COME IN. SO THAT IN THE STATE,
16 AND I'LL READ IT TO YOU, IN THE ELIGIBILITY, WE HAVE
17 MINIMALLY MANIPULATED BONE MARROW, MINIMALLY
18 MANIPULATED CORD BLOOD, OR UNMODIFIED HEMATOPOIETIC
19 STEM CELLS, AND THEN WE WOULD ADD THE MSC'S ARE
20 ELIGIBLE ONLY IF BEING DEVELOPED AS A NOVEL METHOD
21 OF ADDRESSING A RARE OR UNMET NEED UNLIKELY TO
22 RECEIVE FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES. SO THE MSC'S
23 HAVEN'T BEEN PREVIOUSLY HELD TO THAT BAR, BUT WOULD
24 BE UNDER THIS PROPOSAL.

25 AND THEN FINALLY THERE WOULD BE CHANGES IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE CONCEPT TO ADDRESS WHAT WAS DISCUSSED RELATED TO
2 THE CIRM/NHLBI SICKLE CELL DISEASE JOINT INITIATIVE.
3 THOSE WOULD INCLUDE THE FACT THAT ALL SICKLE CELL
4 DISEASE APPLICATIONS THAT WOULD COME TO US WOULD BE
5 CONSIDERED UNDER THIS JOINT FUNDING MECHANISM, THAT
6 APPLICATION MATERIALS WOULD BE SHARED WITH NHLBI,
7 THAT NON-CALIFORNIA APPLICANTS MAY APPLY FOR NHLBI
8 FUNDS TO COVER UNALLOWABLE ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE OF
9 CALIFORNIA, AND, FINALLY, THAT CO-FUNDED PROJECTS
10 MUST ADHERE TO POLICIES OF NHLBI AND NIH FOR DATA
11 AND SAFETY MONITORING AND DATA SHARING, INCLUDING A
12 DATA COORDINATING CENTER THAT IS IN THE PROCESS OF
13 BEING SET UP SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS CURE SICKLE CELL
14 DISEASE INITIATIVE.

15 SO THOSE ARE THE PROPOSED CHANGES. HAPPY
16 TO TAKE QUESTIONS.

17 MR. SHEEHY: QUESTIONS FROM BOARD MEMBERS?

18 DR. VUORI: CAN I ASK A QUICK
19 CLARIFICATION ABOUT GENE THERAPY? IF YOU DO IN VIVO
20 GENE THERAPY, DO YOU CONSIDER THAT BIOLOGICS OR CELL
21 THERAPY?

22 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE SMALL MOLECULES AND
23 BIOLOGICS, THE WAY THAT THE LANGUAGE CURRENTLY
24 ALLOWS THE PROJECT TO COME IN IS THAT THERE MUST BE
25 A STEM CELL INVOLVED IN SOME WAY. SO THE GENE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERAPY WOULD GENERALLY FALL, IF IT'S BY ITSELF AND
2 DOES NOT INVOLVE A STEM CELL, SUCH AS A CELL
3 THERAPY, SO YOU CAN DO A GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPY,
4 OTHERWISE, IT WOULD BE A BIOLOGIC AND WOULD NOT
5 QUALIFY.

6 DR. VUORI: OKAY. THANKS.

7 MR. SHEEHY: SMALL MOLECULE OR A BIOLOGIC,
8 THEN IN VIVO MODIFIED STEM CELL, THAT WOULD STILL BE
9 IN SCOPE?

10 DR. SAMBRANO: IT WOULD NOT. IT IS IN
11 SCOPE CURRENTLY BECAUSE IT MUST INVOLVE A STEM CELL
12 IN SOME WAY TO BE ELIGIBLE. BUT WHAT WE ARE
13 PROPOSING IS THAT WE WOULD LIMIT THE PROJECTS ONLY
14 TO THOSE THAT ARE PROPOSING A THERAPEUTIC THAT'S A
15 CELL THERAPY.

16 MR. SHEEHY: SOME OF THE SCIENTISTS ON
17 HERE MAY KNOW MORE THAN I KNOW. DO WE WANT TO
18 CONTINUE TO LEAVE A DOOR FOR JUST GENE THERAPY IF
19 IT'S TO DO IN VIVO GENE THERAPY TO MODIFY A STEM
20 CELL? THAT IS NOT SOMETHING I PERSONALLY THOUGHT
21 OF. I DON'T KNOW -- OBVIOUSLY THAT'S WHERE A LOT OF
22 THIS IS GOING TO END UP, IN VIVO. AND I DON'T KNOW,
23 THAT'S OPPORTUNITIES WE MIGHT MISS. HAVING GOTTEN
24 THE HORSE TO THE WATER, YOU MIGHT WANT TO BE THERE
25 WHEN IT TAKES A DRINK. I DON'T KNOW. DR. VUORI,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU ASKED THAT QUESTION.

2 DR. VUORI: CERTAINLY. SO AS IT COMES TO
3 GENE THERAPY, THERE ARE TWO WAYS OF MANIPULATING
4 CELLS BY GENE THERAPY. ONE IS EX VIVO. SO YOU TAKE
5 THE CELLS FROM THE BODY -- THEY CAN BE STEM CELLS --
6 MODIFY THEM BY GENE THERAPY AND PUT THEM BACK INTO
7 THE PATIENT. SO YOU MIGHT BE CORRECTING A GENE
8 DEFECT, FOR EXAMPLE.

9 THE OTHER APPROACH IS TO HAVE THE GENE
10 THERAPY APPROACH IN VIVO WHERE YOU HAVE A MATURE
11 VIRUS AND THAT DELIVERS A PAYLOAD OF CERTAIN CELLS.
12 AND YOU MODIFY THE GENE OR GENOME OF A TARGET CELL
13 DIRECTLY IN THE HUMAN BODY. AND I THINK IN SOME
14 APPLICATIONS, ESPECIALLY IF IT COMES TO CENTRAL
15 NERVOUS SYSTEM, THERE IS BRAIN DISORDERS. I THINK
16 GREAT PROGRESS IS BEING MADE IN THIS LATTER, THIS IN
17 VIVO GENE THERAPY, WHERE THERE ARE VARIOUS TYPES OF
18 ESSENTIALLY AAV VIRUSES THAT CAN AFFECT BRAIN CELLS
19 IN A DESIRED MANNER IN THE BODY. MANY TIMES THESE
20 ARE STEM CELLS. CERTAINLY FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, FROM
21 SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE, IT WOULD BE UNFORTUNATE IF
22 THAT REALLY RAPIDLY MOVING AND VERY PROMISING AREA
23 WOULD NOT BE ELIGIBLE FOR CIRM FUNDING, BUT AT THE
24 SAME TIME CIRM CANNOT FUND EVERYTHING. SO IT'S A
25 MATTER OF DISCUSSION AS TO WHAT MAKES THE MOST

1 SENSE.

2 MR. SHEEHY: I PERSONALLY WOULD BE
3 SUPPORTIVE OF EXPANDING THE SCOPE IN THAT PARTICULAR
4 AREA. I THINK THAT ALSO MAY BE RELEVANT TO THE
5 SICKLE CELL PROJECT AS WELL. DR. MILLAN, DO YOU
6 HAVE ANYTHING ON THAT?

7 DR. MILLAN: WE'RE SUPPORTIVE OF THAT.
8 THERE'S BEEN GREAT PROGRESS, AND IT'S COMPATIBLE
9 WITH THE ADVANCEMENTS AND GENOMICS TO INFORM
10 TARGETS.

11 MY QUESTION IS ARE YOU PROPOSING TO MAKE
12 IN SCOPE GENE THERAPY THAT SPECIFICALLY TARGETS STEM
13 CELLS OR GENE THERAPY PERIOD? IT IS ALLOWABLE
14 WITHIN SOME OF THE LANGUAGE IN PROP 71. WE JUST
15 HAVEN'T BEEN INTERPRETING IT IN THAT WAY.

16 MR. SHEEHY: I SUSPECT STEM CELLS AT LEAST
17 AT THIS POINT.

18 DR. MILLAN: OKAY.

19 DR. VUORI: I DON'T KNOW IF BERT AND
20 SHLOMO WANT TO WEIGH IN ON THIS ONE.

21 DR. MELMED: I AGREE WITH YOU. I AGREE
22 WITH YOUR APPROACH.

23 DR. LUBIN: THE APPROACH BEING SPECIFIC
24 FOR STEM CELLS OR BROADER THAN THAT? I THINK
25 BROADER IS WHERE WE ARE HEADED IN A VARIETY OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AREAS, AND THERE'S SOME REALLY EXCITING THINGS. SO
2 I WOULDN'T WANT TO RESTRICT AN OPPORTUNITY THAT
3 REALLY WOULD BE A GOOD THING FOR CIRM TO HAVE.

4 DR. MELMED: ABSOLUTELY. IT'S GOT TO BE
5 AS BROAD AS POSSIBLE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE STEM
6 CELLS. GENE THERAPY AND EXOSOMES WITHIN THE STEM
7 CELL WOULD BE IDEAL THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES.

8 MR. SHEEHY: I'M TRYING TO GET A SENSE OF
9 WHERE WE ARE. DR. MILLAN OR DR. SAMBRANO.

10 DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK THAT'S A FINE
11 PROPOSAL. I THINK WE NEED -- OUR LEGAL COUNSEL
12 WASN'T HERE, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE
13 EXTENT TO WHICH WE ARE ABLE TO FUND RESEARCH OUTSIDE
14 OF STEM CELLS.

15 DR. MELMED: NOT OUTSIDE STEM CELLS.

16 DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK THE PROPOSAL WAS
17 GENE THERAPY IN GENERAL. AND IF THERE IS NO STEM
18 CELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT WE CAN LEGALLY FUND IT. BUT
19 IF IT'S GENE THERAPY THAT TARGETS A STEM CELL, THEN
20 ABSOLUTELY WE COULD.

21 DR. MELMED: KRISTINA, THAT'S WHAT YOU
22 WERE SAYING, RIGHT, WITHIN STEM CELL CONTEXT?

23 DR. VUORI: RIGHT. YEAH. I THINK BERT
24 THEN CHIMED IN AND EXPANDED IT A LITTLE BIT.

25 DR. MILLAN: SCOTT TOCHER IS IN THE ROOM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCOTT, WE'RE DISCUSSING ALLOWING GENE THERAPY FOR
2 STEM CELLS. BUT THEN THE QUESTION AROSE IN TERMS OF
3 GENE THERAPY AS ALLOWABLE WITHIN PROP 71. AND THE
4 QUESTION IS IS IT ALLOWABLE UNDER PROP 71, VITAL
5 RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY?

6 MR. TOCHER: RIGHT. SO THE PROPOSITION
7 ALLOWS, UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS AS YOU DESCRIBE,
8 THIS TYPE OF FUNDING BASED UPON A VOTE OF THE GRANTS
9 WORKING GROUP THAT WOULD OCCUR THAT MAKES THAT
10 FINDING AT A CERTAIN THRESHOLD. SO THAT WOULD BE
11 SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE, ASSUMING THAT MEETS THE
12 CRITERIA WITHIN THE PROPOSITION, WHICH I'M UNABLE TO
13 PULL UP AT THE MOMENT.

14 DR. MILLAN: IT'S UNDER THE TITLE
15 "RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY."

16 MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. SO DO WE HAVE
17 CONSENSUS THAT WE SHOULD EXPAND IT AT A MINIMUM FOR
18 IN VIVO GENE THERAPY FOR STEM CELLS?

19 DR. VUORI: YES.

20 MR. SHEEHY: AND THEN I GUESS WE'LL HEAR
21 FROM COUNSEL WHETHER IN VIVO GENE THERAPY FOR ANY
22 CELL TYPE IS ALLOWABLE, AND THEN WE CAN COME TO A
23 CONSENSUS ONE WAY OR ANOTHER ON THAT.

24 DR. MILLAN: WOULD YOU LIKE US TO BRING IT
25 TO THE FULL BOARD?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. JUELSGAARD: WHILE WE ARE WAITING FOR
2 THAT, CAN I ASK A QUESTION ABOUT THE SICKLE CELL
3 DISEASE PROGRAM?

4 MR. SHEEHY: PLEASE.

5 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO WE'RE PROPOSING
6 BASICALLY A NARROWING OF THE NUMBER OF CANDIDATES
7 THAT MIGHT COME IN GENERALLY FOR FUNDING AND
8 ELIMINATING THOSE, EITHER THE SMALL MOLECULE OR
9 BIOLOGICAL AREA, UNLESS WE'VE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED THEM
10 SO THAT THERE'S SORT OF A CHAIN OF CIRM FUNDING
11 INVOLVED. AND IN THOSE CASES WE WOULD CONSIDER
12 THEM. DOES THAT APPLY TO THE SICKLE CELL PROGRAM AS
13 WELL? THAT WAS MY READING OF HOW THIS WORKED, BUT I
14 WANT TO BE SURE OF THAT.

15 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, THAT'S THE CURRENT
16 INTERPRETATION.

17 MR. SHEEHY: DO WE WANT TO FOR THE SICKLE
18 CELL INITIATIVE MAINTAIN THE PRIOR SCOPE SO WE CAN
19 ABSORB AS MANY PROJECTS AS POSSIBLE?

20 DR. DEAS: I THINK WE SHOULD DO THAT, THE
21 BROADEST SCOPE.

22 MR. SHEEHY: MY FEELING AS WELL. WE'RE
23 OBVIOUSLY IN A RACE THAT WE HOPE WE WILL WIN AS SOON
24 AS POSSIBLE. PERHAPS IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCE WE CAN DO
25 A BROADER SCOPE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. MILLAN: YES, WE AGREE WITH THAT.

2 SCOTT HAS SOMETHING ON THE GENE THERAPY.

3 MR. TOCHER: YES. I JUST WANTED TO BACK
4 UP WHAT WE HAD SPOKEN OF A MOMENT AGO. THE
5 PROPOSITION ALLOWS THAT THE AGENCY MAY FUND OTHER
6 SCIENTIFIC AND MEDICAL RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGIES IN
7 STEM CELL RESEARCH THAT RECEIVE AT LEAST A
8 TWO-THIRDS RECOMMENDATION BY THE GRANTS WORKING
9 GROUP THAT SUCH A RESEARCH PROPOSAL IS A VITAL
10 RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY. THE ABILITY IS THERE.

11 MR. SHEEHY: AMONGST THE BOARD MEMBERS --
12 FIRST, FROM THE TEAM, WHAT'S YOUR FEELING BEYOND
13 STEM CELLS?

14 DR. MILLAN: FOR GENE THERAPY FOR VITAL
15 RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES TO ADDRESS UNMET MEDICAL
16 NEEDS, WE WOULD BE IN SUPPORT OF THAT.

17 MR. SHEEHY: WHAT ABOUT MY COLLEAGUES?
18 WHAT'S THE SENSE? BROADER?

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD AGREE THAT
20 BROADER IS BETTER HERE. WE JUST DON'T WANT TO
21 PRECLUDE THE OPPORTUNITY IF SOMETHING REALLY GOOD
22 COMES ALONG THAT'S ON POINT. SO I WOULD AGREE WITH
23 THE BROADER APPROACH.

24 MR. TORRES: MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT WE
25 ARE VERY CAREFUL -- I THINK SCOTT ALREADY SAID SO --

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT WE COMPLY WITHIN THE PROPOSITION'S INTENT.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ABSOLUTELY.

3 MR. SHEEHY: SO I ASSUME THAT WE CAN WORK
4 THAT OUT IN THE GWG PROCESS WHERE WE FORMALLY
5 ACKNOWLEDGE IT'S A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY.

6 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT.

7 MR. SHEEHY: PERHAPS WHEN THEY COME IN, WE
8 CAN GET THAT APPROVED BEFORE WE ACTUALLY REVIEW IT.
9 MAYBE THAT'S THE PROCESS. MARIA IS NODDING.

10 SO ARE THERE OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS
11 ABOUT THE SCOPE? I WAS GOING TO TRY TO PUT THIS
12 INTO A MOTION.

13 SO I THINK OUR MOTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE
14 ALLOWING -- HAVING WITHIN SCOPE IN VIVO GENE THERAPY
15 OF STEM CELLS. AND THEN IF IT FITS A VITAL RESEARCH
16 OPPORTUNITY, IN VIVO MODIFICATION -- IN VIVO GENE
17 THERAPY FOR OTHER CELL TYPES. AND THEN THE THIRD IS
18 TO RETAIN THE ORIGINAL SCOPE OF PROJECTS THAT COME
19 IN WITHIN THE SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE FOR CLIN1 AND
20 CLIN2.

21 SO DOES THAT KIND OF CAPTURE EVERYTHING
22 THAT WE'VE SAID TODAY?

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: CLIN1, THE ORIGINAL SCOPE
24 OR THE SCOPE THAT WAS --

25 MR. SHEEHY: WILL BE MAINTAINED FOR THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE.

2 MR. TOCHER: CHANGES PROPOSED WOULD NOT
3 BE --

4 MR. SHEEHY: EXCEPT FOR THE SICKLE CELL --

5 DR. MILLAN: SO THE SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE
6 PROGRAMS WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THE NEW CLIN1
7 RESTRICTIONS.

8 MR. SHEEHY: ANY OTHER THOUGHTS, CHANGES,
9 ETC.? I THINK THIS IS GREAT. SO IS IT POSSIBLE TO
10 RESTATE?

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WHEN YOU'RE RESTATING,
12 MR. TOCHER, INDICATE WHETHER THIS IS SHORTER OR
13 LONGER THAN THE MOTION WE HAD EARLIER.

14 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU FOR THE
15 OPPORTUNITY. LET ME STATE AT LEAST TWO COMPONENTS
16 OF IT BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THERE MAY BE A THIRD
17 THAT I MAY NOT HAVE.

18 SO THE MOTION IS TO RECOMMEND THAT THE
19 BOARD APPROVE THE PROPOSED CONCEPT CHANGES EXCEPT AS
20 FOLLOWS: THAT THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR CLIN1 BE CHANGED
21 TO ALLOW IN VIVO GENE THERAPY OF STEM CELLS.
22 SECONDLY, THAT THE SICKLE CELL DISEASE INITIATIVE BE
23 EXEMPT FROM THE CLIN1 PROPOSED NEW RESTRICTIONS.

24 AND I KNOW THERE'S A THIRD.

25 DR. MILLAN: GENE THERAPY -- VITAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY FOR OTHER GENE THERAPY NOT
2 TARGETING STEM CELLS. THAT GENE THERAPY, IN
3 GENERAL, PROJECTS COULD BE BROUGHT TO THE GWG FOR
4 CONSIDERATION WITH A TWO-THIRDS VOTE IN TERMS OF
5 WHETHER IT'S A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY THAT
6 SHOULD BE FULLY REVIEWED BY THE GWG.

7 MR. TOCHER: A RESTATEMENT OF THE
8 PROPOSITION'S PROVISION THAT VITAL RESEARCH
9 OPPORTUNITIES AS DETERMINED BY THE GRANTS WORKING
10 GROUP WITH THE TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY.

11 DR. MILLAN: FOR GENE THERAPY THAT DON'T
12 SPECIFICALLY TARGET STEM CELLS.

13 MR. SHEEHY: DO WE WANT TO INCLUDE THE
14 GENE THERAPY CHANGES FOR THE TRAN PROGRAM AS WELL?
15 YES.

16 SO ONE FURTHER MODIFICATION IS TO INCLUDE
17 THE GENE THERAPY CHANGES FOR THE TRAN PROGRAM AS
18 WELL. I THINK WE KIND OF --

19 MR. TOCHER: WHO'S THE MAKER?

20 MR. SHEEHY: I'LL MAKE THE MOTION. IS
21 THERE A SECOND?

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

23 MR. SHEEHY: SO IS THAT CLEAR TO EVERYONE?
24 WE HAVE THUMBS UP FROM THE TEAM. DO WE HAVE ANY
25 PUBLIC COMMENT? NO PUBLIC COMMENT. HEARING NO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PUBLIC COMMENT AT ANY OF THE SITES, CAN WE GO TO A
2 VOTE THEN PLEASE.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.

4 DR. DEAS: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

6 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

8 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

10 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.

12 DR. LUBIN: YES.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.

14 DR. MELMED: YES.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

16 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. JONATHAN

18 THOMAS.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

21 MR. TORRES: AYE.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

23 DR. VUORI: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. MOTION

25 CARRIES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY GENERAL
PUBLIC COMMENT AT ANY OF THE SITES? WITH THAT, THE
MEETING IS ADJOURNED. THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR
TAKING YOUR TIME THIS AFTERNOON.

(THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 3:19 PM.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 11, 2018, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 255-5453